

SIG CREEK HENG.
1 25 Ct. 2 AP. \$ STAFF.

(WHAT WAS THE ESSENTIAL
AREA IN THE PAST? WAS THE ESSENTIAL
TO BE EXCLUDED?)

EXHIBITS - 1-55

- CORRECTIONS 56 EXHIBIT ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

J. CARMODY - RE-EMPHASIZED THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
PUD & INCLUDED THE NEED FOR FINAL PLAN IS NEEDED
PRIOR TO BUILDING.

Q.11A - Added - RURAL RECREATION IS SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT
OF THE GPO WHICH SHOULD BE NOTED AND CONSIDERED
IN DECISION

"HENDERSON" & "TUGWELL" REFERENCED FOR SUPPORT
FOR PROJECT

COURTS "PUBLIC INTEREST" IF IN COMPLIANT W/COMP PLAN
(DOES NOT REFERENCE "SAFE" & "COMPATIBILITY")

RELATED TO COMMENTS

- ACCESS ROADS

LUND STATEMENT IN TITLE - AGREE TO ENJOIN FOR SUBDIVISION

* (DATE)? THOSE AGAINST ARE ~~NOT~~ IN SUBDIVISIONS THAT
HAVE AGREED TO STANDARD.

SUBMIT EXHIBIT # 58 # 57 (TITLE)

(PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE 20 YEAR)

No objections to conditions

~~KANDARER~~

MADE SUBMIT TITLE/WARRANTY # 59

WERE UNAWARE UNTIL LAST WEEK THAT THIS WAS OCCURRING
(DART)

REQUESTING RECORD TO ~~KEEP RECORD~~ KEPT OPEN FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

(ANDY IS EXPLAINING TOO MUCH)

ROGER OLSON

- COMP PLAN STATES PRESERVE RURAL IN CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT RESULTS IN ²⁹ U²⁹SA PER LOT. DOES NOT MEET INTENT OF PLAN WITH ~~SEE~~ EXPANSIVE DEVELOPMENT BY NOT MEETING RURAL CHARACTER.

B. BITTIG

- DO NOT SEE PUD NOT CONSISTENT W/ RURAL CHARACTER
- TRAFFIC ON LUND LANE, HAVE TO CONSIDER LONG-TERM IMPACT UPON RURAL CHARACTER.
- HOW CONTROL USE OR SPEED BY ~~STRENGTHENING UP~~ ROAD IMPROVEMENT

DAVID McCLURE

- WHAT IS THE CHANGED CONDITION THAT ALLOWS REZONING -
- SEPA DOES NOT INDICATE ENDANGERED BULLTROUT SPECIES

ED STAHEE (DESERT RANCH PROPERTIES)

- AREAS IN OPEN SPACE ARE ALSO ALREADY PROTECTED SO SHOULD NOT BE PART OF OPEN SPACE. W/O THESE IT IS AVAILABLE FOR 29 LOTS

PUD SHOULD BE MODIFIED FOR DENSITY AREAS

LOOK AT



USED IN REG PLAN?

MARK STEHLEY

DOESN'T LIKE IT

DEBBIE RITTER #60 July 11 LETTER

- EASEMENT INTENT WAS TO MAINTAIN EXISTING ROADS
- WOULD TURN INTO PUBLIC ROADS ACCOMODATING MORE TRAFFIC NOT MAINTENANCE

~~KEY~~

JERROD ZUBIK

- QUESTION EXPANDING 1 LANE INTO 28' ROAD GOING THROUGH FAMILY FARMS.

STEHLEY

- CLARIFICATION ON WHETHER LOT MAP BASED UPON TOTAL ACREAGE OR BUILDABLE ACREAGE.

CARMODY RESPONSE

- SAFETY & DESIGN SET BY COUNTY CODE
- NEED - MEETS 17.98 WAS DECIDED BY THE COMP PLAN.
- CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES - DEVELOPMENT BASED UPON COMPLIAN W/ RESPECT TO DENSITY & CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES.
- ~~PLAN~~ URGE TO EXAMINE FISH & WILDLIFE - REQUIRES RE-BUILDING, SIGNS, MAINTENANCE,